

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,522	09/29/2003	Mark Bernard Hettish	2003P08061US	1651	
7590 05/14/2007 Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			EXAMINER		
			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA		
	,522 09/29/2003 7590 05/14/2007 ens Corporation Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator ectual Property Department Wood Avenue South		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 08830			2161		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence		10/673,522	HETTISH, MARK BERNARD		
Office Action Sum	imary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kavita Padmanabhan	2161		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
 Failure to reply within the set or extended p 	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2007.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in	,—				
closed in accordance with	the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/a	re pending in the apr	plication			
4a) Of the above claim(s)					
5) Claim(s) is/are allow		William Sonoid Station.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/a			•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objective.					
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers		··			
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Evernine				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29	•		eted to by the Evaminer		
_		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se			
	· -	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	, ,		
11) The oath or declaration is o		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made (_	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s have been received in Applicati	ion No.		
,	•	ity documents have been receive			
	International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
		•			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F 	-	Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10-1449 01 610/20/08)	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1 and 18-20 have been amended.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/26/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Claims 1 and 18-20 recite the limitation "said device context" in lines 3, 3, 5, and 8, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diacakis et al. (US 2002/0116336, hereinafter "Diacakis").

In regards to claim 1, Diacakis teaches a method, comprising:

- detecting a new device context for a specific device associated with an identity
 (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]), wherein said device context provides an availability status of the specific device
 (Diacakis; par [0026]; par [0045]; par [0053]); and
- mapping said new device context to an identity context for said identity by associating the new device context with said identity context for said identity, wherein said identity context provides an availability status of said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- providing data indicative of said mapped identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

In regards to claim 2, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said

Page 4

new device context for said device includes detecting said new device context in a presence and

availability service (Diacakis; Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 3, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said

new device context for said device includes receiving a request to change said device's device

context (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18).

In regards to claim 4, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said

new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity

(Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 5, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said

new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity

context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 6, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 7, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 9, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said providing data indicative of said identity context includes providing said data indicative of said identity context to an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0030]; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 10, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for an identity (Diacakis;
 par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 11, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for a second identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 12, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said receiving said request to make said change to a new identity context for said second identity includes receiving said request from an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0036]).

In regards to claim 13, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes determining said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 14, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 13, wherein said mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes determining said device context associated with said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 15, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes accessing a mapping table (Diacakis; Fig. 2; Fig. 5; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 16, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

providing data indicative of said device context to a presence and availability service (Diacakis; Fig. 1; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 17, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

changing an identity context for said second identity from a first identity context to a said new identity context in response to said request (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Claim 18 is rejected with the same rationale given for claim 9.

Claims 19 and 20 are each rejected with the same rationale given for claim 1.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/26/07 with respect to the prior art rejections of the claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Diacakis does not teach the claimed detecting a new device context for a specific device associated with an identity, wherein the device context provides an availability status of the specific device, mapping the new device context to an identity context for the identity, and providing data indicative of the mapped identity context. Specifically, applicant argues that the claimed new device context relates to a specific device and provides an indication of an availability status of the specific device.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner asserts that Diacakis does indeed teach the claimed limitations. Par [0043] of Diacakis states, in part, "to determine if the individual is present on a landline telephone, for example, the landline desk phone 44 in his office," and "to determine if the individual is present on his mobile phone 46." Par [0044] states, in part, "to determine whether an individual is present on other devices such as, for example, a personal digital assistant (PDA) 50 or a pager 52." A landline telephone is clearly a specific device for

Art Unit: 2161

which an availability status is determined, as is a PDA. Furthermore, Figure 8 of Diacakis clearly shows a mapping of a device context for a specific device associated with the identity, e.g. work telephone is available, to an identity context, e.g. Jonathan is available.

Applicant argues that, in contrast to the claims, Diacakis teaches determining an availability of an individual and an availability of a communication network, but does not teach the availability of a specific device.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner asserts that Diacakis does indeed teach determining the availability of specific devices. The examiner asserts that Figure 8 of Diacakis goes beyond what is argued by the applicant and shows not only that Jonathan is available via telephone, but also the specific telephone devices and their corresponding availabilities, i.e. device contexts. For example, his work phone is available.

Applicant argues Diacakis fails to overcome the problems addressed by applicant's invention. Applicant cites pars [0028] – [0031] of applicant's specification for explanation. In response, the examiner asserts that Diacakis meets the language of the claims, as written. The examiner further asserts that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

-11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kavita Padmanabhan whose telephone number is 571-272-8352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kavita Padmanabhan Assistant Examiner AU 2161

May 9, 2007

APU MOFIZ EXAMINER SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER